

BEFORE SHRI SUNIL DUTT SHARMA, HON'BLE MEMBER, H.P. PRIVATE EDUCATIONAL  
INSTITUTIONS REGULATORY COMMISSION SHIMLA-171002.

Case No. : 32 of 2016  
Date of Institution:17.10.2016  
Date of Preliminary Orders: 07.11.2016  
Date of Review Order: 19.11.2016.

In the matter of:

Arni University, Kathgarh, Tehsil Indora, District Kangra (HP) through its Registrar Shri Ravi Kant Swami.

Present: Shri Ravi Kant Swami, Registrar

REVIEW ORDERS

Consequent upon the disclosure of information with regard to admission for the Academic Session 2016-17 made by Arni University through online, via e-samvad software /by uploading on the website of the University, it was prima facie found that the University had admitted 37 students in different courses in violation of the admission norms prescribed by the Central/ State Regulatory Bodies and the Registrar of the University was called upon to explain the position on 20.09.2016. Registrar of the University alongwith Kamal Singh Rana Section Officer attended office of HP PERC and after hearing them, the admission in case of one student namely Shri Randeep Singh was regularised, as he was meeting the admission norms prescribed by Government of Himachal Pradesh circulated on 13.8.2015. No satisfactory reply was given by representatives of the University and the case was fixed for hearing before me on 20.10.2016 when the decision against each admission was taken as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of Student	Course in which admission given	Criteria of admission adopted by University.	Decision of HP PERC taken on 13.10.2016.
1.	Shri Ipul Singh	B. Tech. (CE)	AICTE handbook i.e. 45% in General Category and 40% in reserved category.	The University has deviated from the norms fixed by AICTE wherein it has clearly been mentioned that besides possessing 45% and 40% marks in respective categories for admission in B. Tech, the student has to qualify at the Entrance Test conducted by the

				Competent Authority which is lacking in the instant case. Hence this admission is ordered to be cancelled.
2.	Shri Anmol Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
3.	Shri Vyomkesh Sawhney	-do-	-do-	-do-
4.	Shri Anees Iqbal	-do-	-do-	-do-
5.	Shri Abhishek Rana	B. Tech. (CSE)	-do-	-do-
6.	Shri Maninder Singh	B. Tech. (ECE)	-do-	-do-
7.	Shri Vikramjit Singh	B. Tech. (ME)	-do-	-do-
8.	Shri Naresh Kumar	M. Tech. (ME)	-do-	The University has deviated from the norms fixed by AICTE wherein it has clearly been mentioned that besides possessing 50% and 45% marks in respective categories for admission in M. Tech, the student has to qualify at the Entrance Test conducted by the Competent Authority which is lacking in the instant case. Hence this admission is ordered to be cancelled.
9.	Shri Maneev Shehzad	M. Tech. (CSE)	-do-	-do-
10.	Atif Mirza	M. Tech. (EEE)	-do-	-do-
11.	Shri Amir Rashid Shah	M. Tech. (CE)	-do-	-do-
12.	Ms. Anjali	MBA	AICTE handbook i.e. 50% in General Category and 45% in reserved category.	The University has deviated from the norms fixed by AICTE wherein it has clearly been mentioned that besides possessing 50% and 45% marks in respective

				categories for admission in MBA, the student has to qualify in CMAT conducted by AICTE or other recognized test, which element is lacking in the instant case. Hence this admission is ordered to be cancelled.
13.	Shri Manoj Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-
14.	Shri Manzoor Khan	-do-	-do-	-do-
15.	Shri Pradeep Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
16.	Shri Muneesh Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-
17.	Ms. Shamandeep Kaur	-do-	-do-	-do-
18.	Shri Shivam Dhar	B. Tech. (EEE)	On the basis of migration from another university.	The University has submitted copy of Migration certificate issued by Jai Narain Vyal University Jodhpur, hence the admission is ordered to be regularized.
19.	Ms. Pratibha Ray	B. Com. Hons.	On the basis of migration from another university.	The University has submitted copy of Migration certificate issued by Guru Nanak Dev University, hence the admission is ordered to be regularized.
20.	Shri Muneesh Kumar	B. Tech. (MELE)	This student has appeared in diploma course examination conducted by Technical Board, Jammu & Kashmir. The result has not been declared and the admission is	Provisional admission allowed subject to fulfilment of AICTE percentage norms on declaration of result by Technical Board, Jammu & Kashmir.

			made provisional.	
21.	Shri Shivom Sharma	B. Tech. (CE)	-do-	-do-
22.	Shri Abhinandan Singh	B. Tech. (CELE)	-do-	-do-
23.	Shri Shubham Katal	-do-	-do-	-do-
24.	Shri Sahil Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-
25.	Shri Shaid Nateen	-do-	-do-	-do-
26.	Shri Vishal Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
27.	Shri Sumit Khajuria	-do-	-do-	-do-
28.	Shri Rachit Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
29.	Shri Shivom Chiav	-do-	-do-	-do-
30.	Ms. Seema	B. Tech. (CSELE)	-do-	-do-
31.	Shri Anmol Deep	-do-	-do-	-do-
32.	Ms. Sushma Devi	-do-	-do-	-do-
33.	Shri Vikram Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
34.	Shri Sunil Kumar Verma	B. Tech. (ECELE)	-do-	-do-
35.	Shri Satish Kumar	B. Tech. (MELE)	-do-	-do-
36.	Shri Gautam Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-

In view of the decision rendered above, the University was directed to refund entire fee of the students whose admission has been cancelled i.e. mentioned against Sr. No. 1 to 17 immediately and complete particulars of refund i.e. name of student, amount, mode of refund, receipt of payment acknowledged by each student and copy of bank account statement (in case cheques are issued to students) were directed to be furnished to the HP PERC within 15 days from the date of decision, in default the HP PERC had made it clear that it would invoke relevant provisions of H.P. Private Educational Institutions (Regulatory Commission) Act, 2010 and the Rules framed thereunder. Copies of detailed marks card issued by Technical Board, Jammu & Kashmir be supplied to HP PERC within 15 days from the declaration of result.

The University vide its letter dated 15.11.2016 requested for review of the orders on the ground taken earlier. The plea further raised in the letter has been taken such as financial loss to the University, involvement of legal complications etc. Such pleas cannot be considered as the HP PERC is committed to ensure standards of admission and quality education in the State of Himachal Pradesh. Hence the plea taken by the University is rejected. The time to comply with the orders is expiring on 22.11.2016. However, in view of considering the review application and rendering decision thereon, the time period for complying with the preliminary orders dated 7.11.2016 is extended upto 24.11.2016 where after the relevant provisions of the Act and Rules shall be invoked.

Copy of the orders be supplied to the University.

Orders be hosted on the website of the Commission.

File after completion be consigned to record room. In default of compliance, the case file be put up for further orders.

Announced

Sd/-  
(Sunil Dutt Sharma)  
Member