

BEFORE SHRI SUNIL DUTT SHARMA, HON'BLE MEMBER, H.P. PRIVATE EDUCATIONAL
INSTITUTIONS REGULATORY COMMISSION SHIMLA-171002.

Case No. : 28 of 2016
Date of Institution: 3.10.2016
Date of Preliminary Orders: 20.10.2016
Date of Review Orders: 19.11.2016.

In the matter of:

Maharaja Agrasen University, Atal Educational Hub, Village Kalujhanda, Barotiwala, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan (HP) through its Registrar Shri Ajay K. Poddar.

Present: Shri Ajay K. Poddar, Registrar and Prof. (Dr.) Samiya Tabasum, Deptt of Law of Maharaja Agrasen University.

REVIEW ORDERS

Consequent upon the disclosure of information with regard to admission for the Academic Session 2016-17 made by Maharaja Agrasen University through online, via e-samvad software /by uploading on the website of the University, it was prima facie found that the University had admitted 21 students in different courses in violation of the admission norms prescribed by the Central/ State Regulatory Bodies and the Registrar of the University was called upon to explain the position on 20.09.2016. After submission of documents, admission in respect of three students namely Shri Shubham Rana (his name was wrongly mentioned in the list of B. Tech whereas he was admitted in BHMCT), Ms. Little Luthra (her result was declared by MAU) and Mr. Lalit Kumar (his result declared by LPU) was regularised whereas in respect of following students, the University could not produce any satisfactory record and matter was fixed for hearing before me on 20.10.2016 when the decision against each admission was taken as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of Student	Course in which admission given	Criteria of admission adopted by University.	Decision of HP PERC taken on 20.10.2016.
1.	Mahima Sharma	B. Pharmacy	AICTE handbook i.e. 45% in General Category and 40% in reserved category.	The University has deviated from the norms fixed by AICTE wherein it has clearly been mentioned that besides possessing 45% and 40% marks in respective categories for admission in B. Pharmacy, the student has to qualify at the

				Entrance Test conducted by the Competent Authority. Hence this admission has been cancelled.
2.	Ms. Manju Dhatwalia	LLM	Provisional as the result was awaited from HPU.	Now resulted declared and copy of marks sheet submitted, hence admission has been regularised.
3.	Shri Mandeep Tanwar	LLM	-do-	-do-
4.	Shri Amandeep Singh	MBA	AICTE handbook i.e. 50% in General Category and 45% in reserved category.	The University has deviated from the norms fixed by AICTE wherein it has clearly been mentioned that besides possessing 50% and 45% marks in respective categories for admission in MBA, the student has to qualify in CMAT conducted by AICTE or other recognized test, which is lacking in the instant case. Hence this admission has been cancelled.
5.	Ms. Kiran Bala	-do-	-do-	-do-
6.	Sh. Aman Sharma	-do-	-do-	-do-
7.	Ms. Shilpa Kumari	-do-	-do-	-do-
8.	Sh. Rohit	-do-	-do-	-do-
9.	Ms. Manpreet Kaur	-do-	-do-	-do-
10.	Ms. Pritima Kumari	-do-	-do-	-do-
11.	Ms. Shalini Gharu	-do-	-do-	-do-
12.	Sh. Vaibhav Goel	-do-	-do-	-do-
13.	Sh. Pankaj Chandel	MTTM	Provisional, as result not declared by HPU	The result has now been declared and copy of gazette notification of BTA 6 th Semester

				Exam result June 2016 as downloaded from the website of HPU has been submitted, hence admission regularized.
14.	Ms. Poonam Kumari	-do-	-do-	-do-
15.	Shri Anshul Thakur	-do-	-do-	-do-
16.	Shri Amit Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-
17.	Shri Vipin Kumar	-do-	-do-	-do-
18.	Ms. Sapna Kumari	-do-	-do-	-do-

In view of the decision rendered above, and taking lenient view in the first instance, the University was directed to refund entire fee of the students whose admission has been cancelled i.e. mentioned against Sr. No. 1 and 4 to 12 immediately and complete particulars of refund i.e. name of student, amount, mode of refund, receipt of payment acknowledged by each student and copy of bank account statement (in case cheques are issued to students) were directed to be furnished to the HP PERC within 15 days from the date of decision, in default the HP PERC has made it clear that it shall invoke relevant provisions of H.P. Private Educational Institutions (Regulatory Commission) Act, 2010 and the Rules framed thereunder.

The University vide its letter dated 8.11.2016 requested for reconsideration of the matter on the plea that entrance test was conducted by the University for such admissions, which plea was not raised during previous proceedings before passing Preliminary Orders. Moreover the test has been shown to be conducted by the University itself and not by a valid registered Association of Universities which is in contradiction to the provisions of Section 31 (2) of the Private University Act, therefore, the review/appeal of the University is rejected. Moreover the time period for compliance has already expired on 11.11.2016. However, this time also taking lenient view, the University is directed to comply with the preliminary orders by 24th November, 2016, failing which relevant provisions of H.P. Private Educational Institutions (Regulatory Commission) Act, 2010 and the Rules framed thereunder shall be invoked.

Copy of the orders be supplied to the University.

Orders be hosted on the website of the Commission.

File after completion be consigned to record room. In default of compliance, the case file be put up for further orders.

Announced

Sd/-
(Sunil Dutt Sharma)
Member